Scanning electron microscopy of the spores of Gyromitra subg. Gyromitra and subg. Discina (Pezizales)
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The spore surface was studied with the SEM in three species of Gyromitra Fr. subg. Gyromitra, viz. G. ambigua (Karst.) Harmaja, G. esculenta (Pers.) Fr. and G. infusa (Fr.) Quél., and in two species of Gyromitra subg. Discina (Fr.) Harmaja, viz. G. gigas (Krombh.) Quél. and G. montana Harmaja. G. ambigua and G. esculenta have not been examined with the SEM before.

The spores were found to be ornamented in all the species studied, having a fairly regular pattern with ridges running in different directions. The relief of the ornamentation varies with the species, being lowest in G. esculenta and highest in G. gigas. No differences in kind exist between the spore surfaces of the two subgenera, and the difference in degree is almost negligible between the ornamentation with the highest relief in subg. Gyromitra (in G. ambigua) and that with the lowest relief in subg. Discina (in G. montana). The difference between 'smooth' and rough spores can no longer be used as the basic generic character. Thus the present results justify the author's earlier proposal that the genus Discina (Fr.) Fr. sensu Eckblad (i.e., including Maublancomyces Herter and Neogyromitra Imai) should be merged in Gyromitra.

Harri Harmaja, Botanical Museum, University of Helsinki, SF-00170 Helsinki 17, Finland

Materials and methods

Six specimens of Gyromitra Fr., nom. conserv. (sensu Harmaja 1973), listed below, representing both subgenera of the genus, were studied:

Gyromitra ambigua (Karst.) Harmaja. – Finland, Uusimaa, Helsinki, Länsisalmi, 8. IX. 1951, R. Tuomikoski; det. H. Harmaja (H). – Finland, Kemins Lappi, Muonio, church village, 17. IX. 1972, H. Harmaja (H).


G. gigas (Krombh.) Quél. – Finland, Varsinai–Suomi, Lohja rural district, Torhola, 6. VI. 1972, H. Harmaja (H).

G. infusa (Fr.) Quél. – Finland, Kemins Lappi, Kittila, Pallas–Ounas National Park, 10. IX. 1972, H. Harmaja (H).


An air-dry piece of hymenium from each of the specimens was coated directly with gold evaporated from a tungsten filament. Scanning electron micrographs were then taken of the preparations with a JSM-U3 scanning electron microscope at the Department of Electron Microscopy of the University of Helsinki.

Results

1. The spore surface of all the species studied is uneven.

2. The unevenness is of the same kind in all the species, consisting of fairly regular ornamentation produced by elevated ridges running in different directions, locally and infrequently (but frequently in G. gigas) tending to form an indistinct reticulate pattern.

3. The relief of the ornamentation is different in all the species. The sequence of the species when arranged in order of increasing relief is as follows: G. esculenta (Figs. 1–2), G. infusa (Fig. 3), G. ambigua (Figs. 4–6), G. montana (Figs. 7–8), G. gigas (Fig. 9).

Discussion

The genera Discina (Fr.) Fr., Maublancomyces Herter, Neogyromitra Imai and Pseudorhizina Jac. were merged by me in Gyromitra (Harmaja 1969). Later the genus Gyromitra was once more amended, by the removal of two species considered to form the valid genus Pseudorhizina (Harmaja 1973). In the last-named paper the genus was also divided into two subgenera, Gyromitra and Discina (Fr.) Harmaja. In Harmaja 1976 some additional notes were given. Especially in my 1973 paper I presented detailed criticism
against the arguments of those who wanted to separate *Discina* sensu Eckblad (1968) or both *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces* from *Gyromitra* although at that time no SEM studies on species of *Gyromitra* s. str. had been performed. I considered that their arguments were based on differences in degree not in kind and that the characters in question were quite correctly treated as infrageneric elsewhere in the order (e.g. in *Helvella* St-Amans and *Peziza* St-Amans). Dissing (1972) even claimed that the supposedly smooth cyanophilic perispircial sheath surrounding the spores of *Gyromitra* s. str. and the 'apiculi' of the spores of *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces* have not been proved homologous. However, everything that at present is known about these structures in fact indicates that the cyanophilic matter surrounding the spores in all three species groups (genera according to Dissing's taxonomy) is homologous. Firstly, the cyanophilic matter in *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces* spores is not restricted to the 'apiculi' and 'ornamentation' as Dissing erroneously believes but the spores in these species groups, too, are surrounded by a continuous strongly cyanophilic sheath, though this usually (but not always!) has more pronounced swellings ('apiculi') at the spore ends than in *Gyromitra* s. str. as well as distinct ornamentations. Le Gal (1947) was acquainted with, and depicted, this cyanophilic sheath of *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces* as early as in the 1940s. The transmission electron micrographs of the spores of *Neogymnomyces* 'caroliniana' (= *G. fastigiata* (Krombh.) Rehm) and *N. gigas* in Moberg (1970) and those of *D. perlata* in Meléndez–Howell (1976) also show a continuous outer sheath in the spores, the 'apiculi' being nothing but parts of this sheath. Secondly, this sheath, which according to my studies is also at least slightly swollen at the spore ends in all the species of *Gyromitra* s. str., develops in an identical manner in all three species groups: my observations on various species have revealed that it first appears as two separate cyanophilic caps at the ends of the young spores, which caps gradually grow towards each other till they merge to form a continuous sheath; this was also observed already by Le Gal (1947) as concerns *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces*. Thirdly, in all three 'genera' these sheaths swell in KOH (cf. also McKnight 1968). As far as I know, no one has provided any evidence supporting the view that the 'smooth' cyanophilic sheath of *Gyromitra* s. str. is not homologous with the more or less distinctly ornamented one of those two 'genera' (nor has any author claimed that the smooth cyanophilic sheaths of some species of *Helvella* and *Peziza* are not homologous with the ornamented ones of other species of these genera; in *Helvella* the ornamentation may even be inconsistent within the species).

The separation of *Gyromitra* s. str. and *Discina* sensu Eckblad is almost exclusively based on a supposed difference in the kind of spore surface (the purely quantitative difference in the number of oil drops is also emphasized): it is consistently said to be completely smooth under the light microscope in the former but more or less distinctly ornamented in the latter. All facts considered, even if this were true, it would appear unnatural to keep the genera apart (Harmaja 1969, 1973, 1974). However, in 1973 I reported observing — under the light microscope — occasional ornamented spores in two species of *Gyromitra* s. str., namely *G. ambigua* and *G. tasmanica* Berk. & Cooke. It seemed possible that the spores of the former might possess extremely faint markings even as a rule, but the resolution power of the light microscope did not allow any definite conclusion. The results of the present study solve this most important problem and confirm that ornamentation is regularly present in the spores of not only *G. ambigua* but also *G. infula* and even *G. esculenta*, the type of *Gyromitra*. The markings are of the same kind in *Gyromitra* s. str. and *Discina* s. lato (= *Discina* s. str.) that happen to be beyond the limit of resolution of the light microscope. The recognition of the *Discina* group as a subgenus of *Gyromitra* (Harmaja 1973) will not be affected by the present results. It is hoped that the results of the present study can be considered final justification for merging the genera *Gyromitra* and *Discina* (incl. *Neogymnomyces*).

Species of the subgenus *Discina* have been examined with the SEM also before, and the spore micrographs published (Dissing 1972, Dissing & Raitviir 1974, McKnight & Batra 1974, Meléndez–Howell 1976) are in line with the present results. McKnight & Batra (1974) also examined the spore surface of a representative of subg. *Gyromitra*, *G. infula*, and likewise complete concordance with their results and mine exists in the respect of that species. The SE micrographs published so far appear further to confirm my supposition (Harmaja 1973) that the much discussed ornamentation of the spore surface of the species of the *Discina* and *Neogymnomyces* groups is nothing but folds of different degree in the rather soft, continuous and most probably homogeneous sheath (the perisporium, or the secondary wall) which surrounds the spore.
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Figs. 1–9. SE micrographs of the spore surfaces of five species of Gyromitra. – 1: G. esculenta, magnification x 3700 (with this magnification the spores appear practically smooth). – 2: G. esculenta, x 9300. – 3: G. infula, x 3900 (the spore surface appears almost smooth with this magnification). – 4: G. ambigua, Muonio specimen, x 2900. – 5: G. ambigua, Helsinki specimen, x 2900. – 6: G. ambigua, Helsinki specimen, x 7700. – 7: G. montana, x 2300. – 8: G. montana, x 9300. – 9: G. gigas, x 3900.